



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



SEP 9 1983

THESIS

ENLISTED PERFORMANCE STANDARDS MODEL FOR THE OPERATIONS SPECIALIST RATE

by

William E. Wardlaw

June 1983

Thesis Advisor: Richard S. Elster

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

83 09 07 156

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO 1. D- 4/3 2	270				
4. TITLE (and Substite) Enlisted Performance Standards Model For The Operations Specialist Rate	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Master's Thesis June 1983 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER				
7. AUTHOR(*) William E. Wardlaw	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)				
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS				
Naval Postgraduate School	June 1983				
Monterey, California 93940 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & AGORESS(If dillerent from Controlling Office)	57 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of :his report) Unclassified				
	ISA. OECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if different from Report)

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

1. Performance Standards Model

2. Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB)

3. Performance Prediction

4. Recruit Classification

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

This thesis describes the results of analyses investigating the selection of recruits entering the Navy for the Operations Specialist rate. Subsequent performance in that rating is predicted from pre-service education, results of preselection service aptitude tests, and marital status. Military enlistment files were used to describe the

DD | FORM | 1473 | EDITION OF 1 NOV 85 IS OBSOLETE 5/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

characteristics of non-prior service males entering the Navy. Selection standards for new recruits are developed based upon the relationships found between pre-enlistment characteristics and performance in the Navy.

		sion For
		GRA&I
	DTIC	
		ounced
	Justi	fication
	Ву	
	Distr	ibution/
	Avai	lability Codes
_	Dist	Avail and/or Special
DTIC COPY SPECTED	4	
2 22 1		

5. N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Enlisted Performance Standards Model For The Operations Specialist Rate

By

William E. Wardlaw Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1972

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL June 1983

Author:	William & Wardlaw
Approved by:	Richard & Sta
	Thesis Advisor
	Second Reader
	Chairman, Department of Administrative Sciences
	Dean of Information and Policy Sciences

ABSTRACT

This thesis describes the results of analyses investigating the selection of recruits entering the Navy for the Operations Specialist rate. Subsequent performance in that rating is predicted from pre-service education, results of pre-selection service aptitude tests, and marital status. Military enlistment files were used to describe the characteristics of non-prior service males entering the Navy. Selection standards for new recruits are developed based upon the relationships found between pre-enlistment characteristics and performance in the Navy.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODU	JCTIC	N AN	ID BA	ACKG	ROU	ND			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
	Α.	INT	RODU	JCTIO	N .			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•		8
	В.	BAC	KGRC	UND	. ,			•	•	٠								•	•		9
	С.	ANA	LYSI	s co	HORT	Γ.		•	•	•					•						10
II.	MET	HODO	LOGY		•			•	•			•	•					•	•		12
	Α.	os	DATA	FIL	E.			1.	•		•			•						•	12
	В.	CAT	EGOR	Y SE	LECT	rion			•							•		•	•		12
	C.	MET	HOD	OF A	.NAL	SIS							•						•		14
III.	ANA	LYSI	s.		•			•													15
	Α.	MOI	EL V	ARIA	BLES	S .		١.													15
	В.	DIS	CRIM	IINAN	T AN	NALY	SIS	•	•									•	•		18
	C.	MOI	EL T	ESTI	NG .					•				•		•			•	•	20
	D.	CAT	EGOR	RY II	I P	REDI	CTI	ON	•	•	•		•	•					•		21
IV.	CON	ICLUS	IONS	AND	REC	COMM	END	AT:	ION	IS			•			•			•		25
	Α.	CON	CLUS	IONS					•	•			٠	•	•	•			•	•	25
	В.	USE	S OF	THE	MOI	DEL			•	•		•	•	•		•	•		•	•	27
	С.	REC	COMME	NDAT	IONS	5.			•	• •		•	•		•				•		29
APPENI	XIC	A:	COHO	RT F	ILE	VAR	IAB	LES	S				•			•			•	•	30
APPENI	XIC	B:	SAS	PROG	RAM	FOR	os	F	ILE	S	EP	AR	LAT	CIC	N				•		39
APPENI	XIC	C:	SAS	PROG	RAM	FOR	CA	TE	GOR	ĽΥ	I	ID	EN	ΙΤΙ	FI	CA	ΤI	ON	I		45
APPENI	XIC	D:		PROG ITIFI		-	-			Y	!!	•	•		•	٠		٠	•	•	46
APPENI	XIC	E:		PROG		-STE	PWI	SE	DI	SC	RI	ΜI	NA	NT	Α	NA	LY	SI	S		47

APPENDIX F:	SAS PROCEDU	GRAM-D RE	ISCR • •	IMIN.	ANT A	ANAL)	SIS			•	•	•	49
APPENDIX G:	SAS PROCEDU												51
APPENDIX H:	SAS PROCEDU	GRAM-C	ATEG	ORY	111.7	rest	NG .			•			53
LIST OF REFE	RENCES .							•	•	•		•	55
BīBLIOGRAPHY							· . II.					•	56
INITIAL DIST	RIBUTION	LIST											57

LIST OF TABLES

I.	DEFINITION OF VARIABLES USED IN THE ANALYSIS	•	15
II.	SUMMARY OF STEPWISE DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION VARIABLE SELECTION		17
III.	PREDICTION MODEL DISCRIMINANT COEFFICIENTS	•	19
IV.	HIT/MISS TABLE REPRESENTING ACCURACY OF MODEL CLASSIFICATION		20
V.	DEVELOPMENTAL SAMPLE MODEL COEFFICIENTS	•	21
VI.	RESULTS OF CATEGORY I AND II PREDICATION MODEL TESTING	•	22
VII.	CATEGORY III DEVELOPMENTAL MODEL COEFFICIENTS .		23
VIII.	RESULTS OF CATEGORY III PREDICTION		24
IX.	COMPARISON OF BASE RATE TO CROSS VALIDATION RESULTS		26

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

A. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this thesis was to produce a model useful for recruiters in selecting recruits for the Operations Specialist rate. The model can be used in attempts to identify those recruits that will perform successfully during their enlistment period.

Since the inception of the all-volunteer force in 1973, the military services have had to give added attention to the policies and procedures used to select recruits for schools, ratings, advancement, and retention. From an institutional point of view, the Navy seeks to obtain the best man for each job through this selection process. From the viewpoint of the individual, a person will seek the job that he or she thinks is best for him or her. As expected, this may cause some level of conflict since what is deemed best for the Navy may not necessarily be the best for the individual. The methods used by the Navy in selection and classification of recruits for particular schools, ratings, or training pipelines have included use of biographical data, the Basic Test Battery, and the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB). Several studies since the early 1970's have looked at the problems of recruit screening, selection, and performance prediction.

B. BACKGROUND

A concern by the Navy for premature losses as a result of poor screening procedures led to the establishment of an enlisted tracking study to develop a model that could be used to estimate these premature losses. This model could then be used to plan better recruiting policies and the screening of enlistment applicants. This study was conducted during the first year of the All-Volunteer Force and resulted in the development of the Success Chances of Recruits Entering the Navy (SCREEN) model. The variables found that explained most of the differences between those that survived and those who were prematurely separated measured education, age, race, mental group, and number of primary dependents. [Ref. 1]

A validation of the SCREEN model was conducted to cover the first two years of service for the original cohort, and the prediction equations were used to predict attrition from a new cohort of recruits entering the service in 1974. The results of this study, which used weighted linear and logit regressions, showed that the regression coefficients and other statistics for the two cohorts were similar, except that the number of primary dependents was not a significant predictor of attrition for the 1974 cohort, and the race variable declined in significance to the 10 percent level.[Ref. 2]

The Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) was developed by a joint services working group to replace the individual services classification test batteries.[Ref. 3]

The ASVAB replaced the Navy's Basic Test Battery on

1 January 1976 as the primary means of Navy recruit aptitude classification.

Although they have looked at a broad range of technical and non-technical rates, none of the studies mentioned above has dealt with the Operations Specialist rate specifically. They have also looked at the general variable of mental group rather than ASVAB subtest scores. This thesis was done to see if the individual subtests of the ASVAB (some of which are used to determine mental group classification) and other variables can be used to predict the performance or personnel in the OS rating. Previous studies concerning premature losses and success of recruits in the Navy support the premise of this thesis that a feasible model for selecting recruits and assigning the correct recruits to the OS rating may help reduce the number of premature losses in that rate, and help to identify those personnel who are likely not to perform successfully.

C. ANALYSIS COHORT

The cohort used for this analysis was made up of all non-prior service personnel entering active duty in the Navy from 30 September 1976 through 31 December 1978. The military enlistment data file maintained on this cohort covered approximately 200,000 individuals through the end of their first enlistment which extended up to 30 November 1982.

The three separate data files that made up the overall data file on this cohort were the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) file, the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) file, and the Advancement file. Appendix A is a list of the 243 variables contained in the three data files for this cohort; their definitions are also given.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. OS DATA FILE

The analysis in this thesis was conducted using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) computer program available on the IBM 3033 computer at the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California. The initial step of this analysis was to identify those individuals (total number) in the cohort who were members of The Operations Specialist Rate.

Appendix B is the SAS program used to separate the OS's from the other rates. In this analysis, the initial date file was designed to include only male recruits with length of service less than or equal to six years. A total of 3,078 individuals were identified through this process and established the initial data base file used in the analysis.

B. CATEGORY SELECTION

The next step in the analysis was to break up the initial data file of 3,078 people into categories that could be identified as successful, unsuccessful, and average performance groups. The two groups making up the successful and unsuccessful categories accounted for 1,020 personnel in the OS sample file. The remaining sample file members made up the average performance group.

Category I, classified as the successful performance group, contained those individuals who had achieved paygrade E-4 or above in less than four years of service and were recommended for reenlistment. This category contained 552 individuals. Appendix C is the SAS program used to identify these individuals.

Category II, classified as the unsuccessful performance group, contained those individuals who had not made petty officer and were not recommended for reenlistment, regardless of length of service. This category contained 468 individuals. Appendix D is the SAS program used to identify these individuals.

Category III, classified as the average performance group, contained the remaining 2,058 members of the OS data file.

The paygrade variable used in the definition of these categories (PAYGRDE1) was the DMDC file variable that represents the paygrade held by each individual at the time that file was created, or the paygrade held by that individual at the time he separated from the military service if he was no longer in the service.

The recommendation for reenlistment variable was viewed as a significant factor in the delineation of categories I and II personnel, since the nature of the recommendation process within the Navy takes into account an individual's having met various performance, health and physical, and disciplinary standards.

The relative equality of numbers of personnel in category I and category II indicate that an individual randomly selected from the 1,020 people identified stood nearly the same probability of being in one category as the other. The first category identified those individuals who had progressed rapidly through the system by achieving petty officer status in less than four years of service and who had met the standards of success as evidenced by their recommendations for reenlistment. The second category contained individuals who had obviously not met these standards, as evidenced by their lack of a recommendation for reenlistment.

C. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Once these categories were identified, data from categories I and II were analyzed to produce a model that could be used to identify into which of the two categories future OS recruits would fall. The model was then tested using random members of each category to test its validity.

Data from category III personnel were examined using the model to determine what percentage of its members would have been predicted to fall into either category I or category II.

The actual procedures used in analysis, the results of the analysis, and the conclusions are discussed in chapters 3 and 4.

III. ANALYSIS

A. MODEL VARIABLES

Categories I and II were first analyzed using 16 variables from the original list of 243 variables. Table I lists the variables chosen for this analysis.

TABLE I
DEFINITION OF VARIABLES USED IN THE ANALYSIS

Label For The Variable	Definition Of The Variable
ENTRYAGE	Age of individual at time of entry
CHYEC ASVABGI	Highest year of education ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale GI
ASVABNO	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale NO
ASVABAD ASVABWK	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale AD ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale WK
ASVABAR	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale AR
ASVABSP ASVABMK	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale SP ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale MK
ASVABEI ASVABMC	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale EI ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale MC
ASVABGS	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale GS
ASVABSI ASVABAI	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale SI ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale AI
MRTSTAT1	Marital Status (1, Other, 2, Married)
NDPNDNT1	Number of Dependents (1, 0)

The variables chosen are representative of the variables found in the SCREEN study to be indicative of a recruit's chances of successfully completing his first year of enlistment. As stated in the introduction to this thesis, the variables found to be significant in the SCREEN model were education, mental group, age, race, and number of primary

dependents. The twelve subtests of the ASVAB were chosen as independent variables instead of specific mental categories in order to see which of these subtests correlated specifically with the performance of the OS's in each category. Entry age and years of education variables were also used. The marital status variable was added along with the number of dependents even though there existed the definite possibility of collinearity between the two variables. No variable dealing with race or ethnic background was included.

The analysis of categories I and II using these 16 variables was done initially using the Stepwise Discrimination (STEPDISC) Process available in the SAS computer package.

Appendix E is the SAS program used in this procedure. This STEPDISC process takes the independent variables and does a stepwise selection of the variables to determine which ones will provide the best model for prediction. Table II shows the results of the STEPDISC process.

Table II shows step 1 of the stepwise selection process and the final step in the process. In step 1 of the process, the 16 variables are entered. The resultant F-statistics are shown in Table II. As can be seen, the F-statistics for marital status and number of dependents are considerably higher than those for the other variables. This is probably due to collinearity between the two variables. A high correlation should be expected between these two variables and was computed to be .8144 in the STEPDISC process.

SUMMARY OF STEPWISE DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION VARIABLE SELECTION

TABLE II

STEPWISE SELECTION: STEP 1

Statistics For Entry, DF = 1,1018

<u>Variable</u>	R**2	F	Prob F	Tolerance
ENTRYAGE	0.0007	0.689	0.4067	1.0000
CHYEC	0.0052	5.294	0.0216	1.0000
ASVABGI	0.0070	7,171	0.0075	1.0000
ASVABNO	0.0003	0.310	0.5775	1.0000
ASVABAD	0.0004	0.415	0.5195	1.0000
ASVABWK	0.0039	3.947	0.0472	1.0000
ASVABAR	0.0031	3.195	0.0741	1.0000
ASVABSP	0.0004	0.446	0.5042	1.0000
ASVABMK	0.0022	2.204	0.1380	1.0000
ASVABEI	0.0022	2.235	0.1352	1.0000
ASVABMC	0.0023	2.359	0.1249	1.0000
ASVABGS	0.0000	0.001	0.9789	1.0000
ASVABSI	0.0001	0.105	0.7463	1.0000
ASVABAI	0.0003	0.327	0.5678	1.0000
MRTSTAT1	0.0506	54.300	0.0001	1.0000
NDPNDNT1	0.0279	29.254	0.0001	1.0000

STEPWISE SELECTION: SUMMARY

Variable	Partial	F	Prob	Wilks'	Prob	Average Squared Canonical	Prob
Entered	R**2	Statistic	F	Lambda	Lambda	Correlation	ASCC
MRTSTAT1	0.0506	54.300	0.0001	0.94936160	0.0001	0.05063840	0.0001
ASVABGI	0.0082	8.380	0.0039	0.94160255	0.0001	0.05839745	0.0001
ASVABWK	0.0088	8.990	0.0028	0.93334347	0.0001	0.06665653	0.0001
ASVABEI	0.0046	4.727	0.0299	0.92901680	0.0001	0.07098320	0.0001
ASVABMC	0.0052	5.334	0.0211	0.92415503	0.0001	0.07584497	0.0001
ASVABAR	0.0055	5.618	0.0180	0.91905816	0.0001	0.08094184	0.0001
ASVABMK	0.0077	7.861	0.0051	0.91197454	0.0001	0.08802546	0.0001
CHYEC	0.0032	3.205	0.0737	0.90909284	0.0001	0.09090716	0.0001

Note: The variables are defined in Table I.

The STEPDISC process then removes the variable with the highest F-statistic and builds an equation with the remaining variables. The stepwise selection summary in Table II shows that 8 of the original 16 variables are significant and gives them in decreasing order of significance. Definitions of terms in Table II may be found in the 1982 edition of the SAS Users Guide: Statistics.

B. DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

Once the relevant independent variables were identified in the STEPDISC procedure, categories I and II were analyzed using those variables to develop a model that could be used for classification of recruits into one of these two categories. This model building was done using the Discriminant Analysis Procedure in the SAS program as depicted in Appendix F.

The model developed is shown in Table III which delineates for each category the constant term and a coefficient for each significant variable in the equation.

The discriminant function procedure also provides a classification summary. This is depicted in Table IV, which shows the number of observations and percents classified into each category.

The Table IV classification summary indicates that of the 552 individuals actually in category I, approximately 56 percent (308) were predicted to be in category I (hits), and that approximately 44 percent (244) were predicted to be in

category II (misses). Likewise for category II, approximately 68 percent (317) of the 468 people actually in category II were predicted to be in that category (hits), and the remaining 32 percent (151) were predicted to be in category I (misses).

TABLE III

PREDICTION MODEL DISCRIMINANT COEFFICIENTS

	Category	Category
	1	2
CONSTANT MRTSTAT1 ASVABGI ASVABWK ASVABEI ASVABMC ASVABAR ASVABMK CHYEC	-108.37320035 6.76016742 0.45790421 0.85635471 0.41074409 0.02772286 1.12449920 -0.47501570 13.77296273	-106.39075573 5.67675421 0.32968561 0.90707747 0.46153362 -0.02994775 1.21932033 -0.52863728 13.63747563

Category I is made up of OS's that made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Category 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

Note: The variables are defined in Table I.

TABLE IV

HIT/MISS TABLE REPRESENTING ACCURACY OF MODEL CLASSIFICATION

NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS AND PERCENTS CLASSIFIED INTO CATEGORY*

Pred	icted
Cate	gory

		1	2	Total
Actual Category	1	308 55.80	244 44.20	552 100.00
	2	151 32.26	317 67.74	468 100.00

^{*} Category 1 is made up of OS's that have made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Category 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

C. MODEL TESTING

After developing the model, it was necessary to determine its validity as a predictive tool. This was done for categories I and II using a discriminant procedure shown in the SAS program in Appendix G. This procedure takes approximately two-thirds of the group being analyzed in each category and develops a model using the Discriminant Analysis Procedure. This is a developmental sample. Using the relevant variables, the model (the variables and their coefficients) is applied to the remaining one-third of the group to determine into which category they would be classified. This is the cross-validation sample. The results of this procedure indicate the validity of the model. The

developmental sample model variables and coefficients are shown in Table V.

TABLE V

DEVELOPMENTAL SAMPLE MODEL COEFFICIENTS

	Category	Category
	1	2
CONSTANT	-109.42347787	-107.98784561
MRTSTAT1	5.73965799	4.79188092
ASVABGI	0.20963205	0.04365598
ASVABWK	0.82114823	0.88226526
ASVABEI	0.51307685	0.56478652
ASVABMC	-0.04452082	-0.11553667
ASVABAR	1.07611431	1.17494105
ASVABMK	-0.51158167	-0.55835371
CHYEC	14.37195942	14.27949365

Category 1 is made up of OS's that have made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Category 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

Note: The variables are defined in Table I.

The results of this test are shown in Table VI and give the predicted observations and classifications by category, and the actual observations and classifications by category for the developmental sample and the crossvalidation sample.

D. CATEGORY III PREDICTION

The next step in the analysis was to test category III

(the average group of OS's) to determine what percentage of
that category would be predicted to fall into either
category I or category II. This test used a discriminant

procedure similar to that used in testing the predictive validity of the model. The procedure randomly selected approximately two-thirds of the category I and II personnel to produce a developmental model and then classified the category III personnel using that model. The variable coefficients for that developmental model are shown in Table VII. The SAS program procedure steps for this test are shown in Appendix H. Table VIII shows the classifications for all three of the categories as predicted by this procedure.

TABLE VI

RESULTS OF CATEGORY I AND II PREDICTION MODEL TESTING

NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS AND PERCENTS CLASSIFIED INTO CATEGORY*

Developmental Sample I				C	cross Va	lidatio	n Sample	Ι		
Predicted Category						Predict Categor				
		1	2	Total			1	2	Total	
Actual Category	1	211 57.81	154 42.19	365 100.00	Actual Category	1	113 60.43	74 39.57	187 100.00	
	2	110 35.71	198 64.29	308 100.00		2	58 36.25	102 63.75	160 100.00	

Category 1 is made up of OS's that have made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Category 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

TABLE VII

CATEGORY III DEVELOPMENTAL MODEL COEFFICIENTS

	Category	Category	
	1	2	
CONSTANT	-111.95325119	-110.16693586	
MRTSTAT1	6.99376710	5.83142944	
ASVABGI	0.20630423	0.10606098	
ASVABWK	0.94810381	0.99652699	
ASVABEI	0.36623999	0.39391853	
ASVABMC	-0.07623129	-0.14539578	
ASVABAR	1.14818701	1.27837018	
ASVABMK	-0.45090117	-0.52410262	
CHYEC	14.54394249	14.43825244	

Category 1 is made up of OS's that have made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Category 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

Note: The variables are defined in Table I.

As shown in Table VIII, approximately 56 percent of category III personnel would be predicted to fall into category I, and the remaining 44 percent would be predicted to fall into category II.

TABLE VIII

RESULTS OF CATEGORY III PREDICTION

NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS AND PERCENTS CLASSIFIED INTO CATEGORY

Predicted Category

Actual Catego			1	2	Total
		1	210 56.15	164 43.85	374 100.00
		2	106 33.02	215 66.98	321 100.00
	Total Percent		316 45.47	379 54.53	695 100.00
		3	1,145 55.64	913 44.36	2,058 100.00
	Total Percent		1,145	913 44.36	2,058 100.00

Category 1 is made up of OS's that made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Category 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

Category 3 is made up of OS's not classified in either category 1 or 2.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

With minor exception, the variables selected as significant indicators of membership in both categories I and II are similar to those found to be significant in the SCREEN table. The age variable used in the SCREEN table was found not to be relevant in this analysis, and the number of dependents variable was replaced by the marital status variable. One interesting point is the very high significance related to marital status in relation to the other relevant variables. The F-statistic for marital status and its significance level are much greater than the F-statistics of the other variables.

The coefficients of the eight significant variables

(Table III) are relatively close to one another in size. The coefficient for marital status is higher for category I than for category II; indicating that category I personnel are more likely to be married. It can also be seen that those in category I scored substantially better in the ASVAB subscale MC than did those in category II.

In order to determine if the model developed is a valid predictive tool, the results of the cross validation sample (Table VI) must be compared to the category base rate. The category base rate is determined from the number of observations used in developing the model (Table IV). The

base rate for category I is 54.1 percent (552/1,020) and the base rate for category II is 45.9 percent (468/1,020). The base rates indicate that an individual randomly chosen and classified strictly be chance will be correctly classified as a category I person 54.1 percent of the time, and correctly classified as a category II person 45.9 percent of the time. For the model to be considered a good predictive tool, the results of the cross validation sample should be an improvement over the accuracy attainable from the base rate. Table IX shows the comparison of the base rates with the results of the cross validation sample by category for the cross-validation test conducted.

TABLE IX

COMPARISON OF BASE RATE TO CROSS VALIDATION RESULTS

Category	Base Rate	Cross-Validation Sample Hit Rate
1	54.1	60.43
2	45.9	63.75
Overall Hit Rate		61.96

Category 1 is made up of OS's that have made E-4 or above in less than four years and are recommended for reenlistment.

Cateogry 2 is made up of OS's that have not made petty officer and are not recommended for reenlistment.

As can be seen in Table IX, the cross-validation accuracy (hit rate) for category I is better than the base rate and for category II, the cross-validation accuracy is

significantly better than the base rate. This indicates that the model should provide a reasonable prediction tool for category I, and a very good prediction tool for category II personnel.

An overall hit rate was computed for the model by taking the ratio of the sum of the hits in both categories to the total number of observations in both categories of the cross-validation sample. This overall hit rate is also shown in Table IX.

The results of the category III personnel prediction tests (Table VIII) demonstrate the averageness of the people in category III. The nearly equal numbers of category III personnel classified into categories I and II (1,145 and 913 respectively) indicates that there may be other factors that will determine whether these category III personnel are eventually successful or unsuccessful.

A direct comparison of the accuracy of this model with the accuracy of the SCREEN model is complicated by the fact that different independent variables were used.

B. USES OF THE MODEL

This model can be used primarily by recruiters, AFEES centers, or Naval Training Commands for recruit classification. By taking this model and applying the applicable variables to a group of recruits, it could be determined which ones would probably perform successfully or unsuccessfully as Operations Specialists.

For example, a recruit or group of recruits that had expressed a desire to become OS's after recruit training could be judged according to this predictive model. Those who had scores indicating successful performance based on this model could be assigned to fill OS A-school billets on a priority basis enabling them to develop their skills as Operations Specialists as soon as possible. Those whose scores indicated they would not be successful as OS's could be steered to other ratings.

This model could also be used in conjunction with similar models developed for other ratings. By applying this model and other similar ones to recruits who have not expressed a desire for any particular rating, prediction could be made concerning which recruits would perform better as OS's or in other ratings, and thereby develop a list of ratings for which a recruit should be considered. These recommendations would be based on predicted scores (success/nonsuccess) for each recruit.

By using this model in these fashions, recruits can probably be better classified and assigned. This will benefit both the recruits and the Navy by enabling recruits to be assigned to ratings where they stand a better chance of succeeding, and by giving the Navy a better method of ensuring that its ratings are filled by those people with the best chances of succeeding.

C. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that further analyses be done to determine better the separation point for classifying recruits as category I or category II individuals. It is also recommended that further analysis be conducted to see if other variables might provide a better classification tool that the SCREEN table.

It is also possible that further analysis could be conducted on the category III (average performers) personnel using other variables and regression techniques. These analyses might better differentiate successful from unsuccessful performers.

Further analysis could be conducted of this model in conjunction with the SCREEN model to determine what correlation exists between predictions of the two models, and to determine if the model developed here provides an incremental validity over the validity of SCREEN.

APPENDIX A

COHORT FILE VARIABLES

CENSUSRG Census Region (10 codes)

CENSUSDS Census District (5 codes)

HOMEZIP Home of Record Zip Code

HMESTATE Home of Record State

DATEDETY Year of Final Qualifying Determination

DATEDETM Month of Final Qualifying Determination

BIRTHYR Year of Birth

BIRTHMTH Month of Birth

BIRTHDAY Day of Birth

ENTRYAGE Age of Individual at Time of Entry

RECORDID Record ID--Exam Score, Dep, Active Duty

HYEC Highest Year of Education

SEX (1) Male, (2) Female

RACE (1) White, (2) Black, (3) Other

ETHNIC Individual's Reported Ethnic Status

RACEETHN Six Race/Ethnic Combinations

MRTLDPND Marital Status/Dependents

TESTFORM Test Form/EOFA, ASVAB, ASWST, AFQT, OSB

AFOTPCNT AFOT Percentile (or equivalent)

AFQTGRPS AFQT Groups (5, 4C, 4B, 4A, 3B, 3A, 2, 1)

ASVABGI ASVAB Aptitude Area Score--Subscale GI

ASVABNO ASVAB Aptitude Area Score--Subscale NO

ASVABAD ASVAB Aptitude Area Score--Subscale AD

ASVABWK	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale WK
ASVABAR	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale AR
ASVABSP	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale SP
ASVABMK	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale MK
ASVABEI	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale EI
ASVABMC	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale MC
ASVABGS	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale GS
ASVABSI	ASVAB Actutude Area ScoreSubscale SI
ASVABAI	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale AI
SERVACCS	Service of Accession (Navy, 2)
PRIORSRV	Prior Service (Non-Prior Service, 1)
PUL	General Health, Upper and Lower Extremities
HES	Hearing, Vision, Psychiatric Well Being
ASVABCM	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale CM
ASVABCA	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale CA
ASVABCE	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale CE
ASVABCC	ASVAB Aptitude Area ScoreSubscale CC
ENTRYSTA	Entry Status (1, Direct to Active Duty)
HE I GHT	Height in inches (Fractions Dropped)
WEIGHT	Weight in pounds (fractions rounded)
SYSTOLBP	Systolic Blood Pressure
DIASTLBP	Diastolic Blood Pressure
MEDFAIL1	Primary Medically Disqualifying Defect
MEDFAIL2	Secondary Medically Disqualifying Defect
MEDFAIL3	Tertiary Medically Disqualifying Defect
WAIVER	Permit Code for Otherwise Ineligible
WAIVERAL	Waiver Approval Level and Explanation
EXAMSTAT	Exam Status (1, Fully Qualified)

TERMENLT Term of Enlistment (Number of Years)

ENTRPAYG Entry Paygrade

HOMECNTY Home of Record County

PROGENLT Program Enlisted for--Service Unique

AFEESSTA Military Entrance Processing Stations

BONUSOPT Bonus Option, Combat or Non-Combat

ENLSTOPT Enlistment Option

YOUTHPRG Youth and Reserve Training Programs

TAPEDATE Month of File on Which Record Submitted

TRANLMOS Occup. Specialty/Rating Choice Upon Entry

TAFMS1 Months of Total Active Federal Military Service

DPOC1 DOD Primary Occupation Code

DDOC1 DOD Duty Occupation Code

HYEC1 Highest Year of Education

PAYGRDE1 Paygrade as of Date of File/Separation

SERVICE1 Service Code (2, Navy)

MRTSTAT1 Marital Status (1, Other, 2, Married)

NDPNDNT1 Number of Dependents (1, None)

SPNSPD1 Separation Program Designator

ISC1 Inter-Service Separation Code

SEPRTIYR Year of Separation (2nd DMDC Section)

SEPRTIMT Month of Separation (2nd DMDC Section)

SEPRTIDY Day of Separation (2nd DMDC Section)

BASDIYR Year of Active Duty Base Date

BASDIMTH Month of Active Duty Base Date

BASDIDAY Day of Active Duty Base Date

ETSIYEAR Estimated Year of Fulfilled Active Duty

ETSIMNTH Estimated Month of Fulfilled Active Duty

CHARSRV1 Character of Service

ELGREUP1 Reenlistment Eligibility

PEBD1YR Year of Pay Entry Base Date

PEBD1MTH Month of Pay Entry Base Date

PEBD1DAY Day of Pay Entry Base Date

ENTRYYR Year of Entry to Active Duty/D.E.P.

ENTRYMTH Month of Entry to Active Duty/D.E.P.

ENTRYDAY Day of Entry to Active Duty/D.E.P.

SEPRTIYR Year of Separation (2nd DMDC Section)

SEPRT1MT Month of Separation (2nd DMDC Section)

SEPRTIDY Day of Separation (2nd DMDC Section)

BASD1YR Year of Active Duty Base Date

BASDIMTH Month of Active Duty Base Date

BASDIDAY Day of Active Duty Base Date

PEBD2YR Year of Pay Entry Base Date

PEBD2MTH Month of Pay Entry Base Date

PEBD2DAY Day of Pay Entry Base Date

SEPRT2YR Year of Separation (3rd DMDC Section)

SEPRT2MT Month of Separation (3rd DMDC Section)

SEPRT2DY Day of Separation (3rd DMDC Section)

BASD2YR Year of Active Duty Base Date

BASD2MTH Month of Active Duty Base Date

BASD2DAY Day of Active Duty Base Date

ETS2YEAR Estimated Year of Fulfilled Active Duty

ETS2MNTH Estimated Month of Fulfilled Active Duty

TAFMS2 Months of Total Active Federal Military Service

DPOC2 DOD Primary Occupational Code

DDOC2 DOD Duty Occupational Code

HYEC2 Highest Year of Education

PAYGRDE2 Paygrade as of Date of File/Separation

SERVICE2 Service Code (2, Navy)

MRTSTAT2 Marital Status (1, Other, 2, Married)

NDPNDNT2 Number of Dependents (1, None)

SPNSPD2 Separation Program Designator

ISC2 Inter-Service Separation Code

CHARSRV2 Character of Service

ELGREUP2 Reenlistment Eligibility

PEBD3YR Year of Pay Entry Base Date

PEBD3MTH Month of Pay Entry Base Date

PEBD3DAY Day of Pay Entry Base Date

SEPRT3YR Year of Separation (4th DMDC Section)

SEPRT3MT Month of Separation (4th DMDC Section)

SEPRT3DY Day of Separation (4th DMDC Section)

BASD3YR Year of Active Duty Base Date

BASD3MTH Month of Active Duty Base Date

BASD3DAY Day of Active Duty Base Date

ETS3YEAR Estimated Year of Fulfilled Active Duty

ETS3MNTH Estimated Month of Fulfilled Active Duty

TAFMS3 Months of Total Active Federal Military Service

TAFMS4 Months of Total Active Federal Military Service

DPOC3 DOD Primary Occupational Code

DDOC3 DOD Duty Occupational Code

HYEC3 Highest Year of Education

PAYGRDE3 Paygrade as of Date of File/Separation

SERVICE3 Service Code (2, Navy)

MRTSTAT2 Marital Status (1, Other, 2, Married)

NDPNDNT3 Number of Dependents (1, None)

SPNSPD3 Separation Program Designator

ISC3 Inter-Service Separation Code

CHARSRV3 Character of Service

ELGREUP3 Reenlistment Eligibility

FILEMTCH Byte Binary File Match Indicators

DOEYRDEP Date of Entry Year Into D.E.P.

DOEMTDEP Date of Entry Month Into D.E.P.

MNTHSDEP Months in D.E.P.

SPFLGML Spanish Flag Master/Loss

DCPGMNTH Month of DCPG

DCPGYR Year of DCPG

GCT Basic Battery GCT

ARI Basic Battery ARI

MECH Basic Battery Mech

CLER Basic Battery Cler

PNEC Navy Enlisted Job Code

CTZNSHIP Citizenship Code

BRCL Branch/Class

GROUPIND Group Indicator

AUTHRATE Authorized Rate

EDPGYR Effective Date of Paygrade

SCHLCODE School Code

SCHLWVR School Waiver

PRESRATE Present Rate

PRRTABRV Present Rate Abbreviated

EXAMRATE Examination Rate Code

EXRTABRV Examination Rate Abbreviated

TOTLRAW Total Raw Score

STDNAVY Standardized Navy Score

PRCODE Process Code

ALTPRCDE Alternate Process Code

FINLMULT Candidate's Final Multiple

FNMLTCUT Final Multiple Cut

PRFFACTR Performance Factor

AWIFACTR AWI Factor

CHNGRATE Change of Rate Indicator

NENLSTMT Number of Enlistments

EAOS Expiration of Active Obligated Service

TAS Total Active Service

OAS Other Active Service

SIPG Service in Paygrade

LOSCODE Length of Service

LOSWVR Length of Service Waiver

TIR Time in Rate

TIRWVR Time in Rate Waiver

ADBD Active Duty Base Date

EDPG Effective Date of Paygrade

DTIS Drill Time in Service

NCHANGES Number of Changes/Entries in NHRC File

AGE Candidate's Current Age

NHRCGCT NHRC File's General Classification Test

NHRCAFQT NHRC File's Armed Forces Qualification Test

MENTLGRP Mental Group Code

EDCERTIF Education Certificate

MOBLDSGN Military Obligation Designator

HYDNPNDT Highest Number of Primary Dependents

GRP4PROG Group IV Program Code

SSDUTY Sea-Shore Duty Indicator

REGRESRV Regular Reserve Indicator

HYPAYGRD Highest Paygrade

NOTRCMD Not Recommended for Reenlistment

SSNCHNGE Social Security/Name Change

TOTPROMO Total Promotions

TOTLDEMO Total Demolitions

TOTLAWOL Total UA/AWOL

TOTDESRT Total Desertions

TOTMLTCN Total Military Confinements

TOTCVLCN Total Civilian Confinements

LNGTHSRV Length of Service

SCREEN SCREEN Score

ATTRITCD Attrition Indicator

RECNTC Recruit Naval Training Command

RECENLST Recruit Type of Enlistment

APPENDIX B

SAS PROGRAM FOR OS FILE SEPARATION

```
//Wardlaw Job (1197,0001), 'W.E. Wardlaw', Class=K
// EXEC SAS
//SAS.Work DD Space=(CYL, (10,10)), DISP=(New, Delete, Delete),
// VOL=SER=(MVSO12, MVS009, MVS004), Unit=3350
//Filein DD Unit=3400-5, VOL=SER=NPS709,
// DISP=OLD,DSN=Enlist.All.A7678
//Fileout DD Unit=3330V, MSVGP=PUB4Z, DISP=(New, CATLG),
11
         DSN=MSS.S1197.OSONE,
11
           DCB=(BLKSIZE=6400)
//SYSIN DD *
Options Errors=0;
Data Fileout.OSONE;
      Infile Filein; Input
  5 CENSUSRG PIBI. @ 6 CENSUSDS PIBI. @ 7 HOMEZIP PIB3.
9 10 HMESTATE PIBI. 9 11 DATEDETY PIBI. 9 12 DATEDETM PIBI.
§ 13 BIRTHYR PIBI. @ 14 BIRTHMTH PIBI. @ 15 BIRTHDAY PIBI.
@ 16 ENTRYAGE PIBI. @ 17 RECORDID PIBI. @ 18 HYEC
                                                      PIBI.
@ 19 SEX
              PIBI. @ 20 RACE
                                  PIBI. @ 21 ETHNIC
                                                      PIBI.
9 22 RACEETHN PIBI. 0 23 MRTLDPND PIBI. 0 24 TESTFORM PIBI.
@ 25 AFQTPCNT PIBI. @ 26 AFQTGRPS PIBI. @ 27 ASVABGI
                                                     PIBI.
@ 28 ASVABNO PIBI. @ 29 ASVABAD PIBI. @ 30 ASVABWK PIBI.
9 31 ASVABAR PIBI. @ 32 ASVABSP PIBI. @ 33 ASVABMK PIBI.
@ 34 ASVABEI PIBI. @ 35 ASVABMC PIBI. @ 36 ASVABGS PIBI.
@ 37 ASVABSI PIBI. @ 38 ASVABAI PIBI. @ 39 SERVACCS PIBI.
```

- @ 40 PRIORSRV PIBI. @ 41 PUL PIBI. @ 42 HES PIBI.
- @ 43 ASVABCM PIBI. @ 44 ASVABCA PIBI. @ 45 ASVABCE PIBI.
- @ 46 ASVABCC PIBI. @ 47 ENTRYSTA PIBI. @ 48 HEIGHT PIBI.
- @ 49 WEIGHT PIBI. @ 50 SYSTOLBP PIBI. @ 51 DIASTLBP PIBI.
- @ 52 MEDFAIL1 PIBI. @ 53 MEDFAIL2 PIBI. @ 54 MEDFAIL3 PIBI.
- @ 55 WAIVER PIBI. @ 56 WAIVERAL PIBI. @ 57 EXAMSTAT PIBI.
- @ 58 ENTRYYR PIBI. @ 61 TERMENLT PIBI. @ 62 ENTRPAYG PIBI.
- @ 59 ENTRYMTH PIBI. @ 60 ENTRYDAY PIBI.
- @ 63 HOMECNTY PIB2. @ 65 PROGENLT PIB5. @ 72 AFEESSTA PIBI.
- @ 73 BONUSOPT PIBI. @ 74 ENLSTOPT PIBI. @ 75 YOUTHPRG PIBI.
- @ 78 TAPEDATE PIBI. @ 81 TRENLMOS PIB5. @ 86 TAFMS1 PIB2.
- @ 88 DPOC1 PIB2. @ 90 DDOC1 PIB2. @ 92 HYEC1 PIBI.
- @ 93 PAYGRDE1 PIBI. @ 94 SERVICE1 PIBI. @ 95 MRTSTAT1 PIBI.
- @ 96 NDPNDNT1 PIBI. @ 97 SPNSPD1 PIB3. @100 ISC1 PIBI.
- @101 SEPRTIYR PIBI. @102 SEPRTIMT PIBI. @103 SEPRTIDY PIBI.
- @104 BASD1YR PIBI. @105 BASD1MTH PIBI. @106 BASD1DAY PIBI.
- @107 ETSIYEAR PIBI. @108 ETSIMNTH PIBI.
- @109 DOLEIYR PIBI. @110 DOLEIMTH PIBI.
- @113 PEBD1YR PIBI. @114 PEBD1MTH PIBI. @115 PEBD1DAY PIBI.
- @111 CHARSRV1 PIBI. @112 ELGREUP1 PIBI.
- @116 FILEFLG1 PIB2. @118 TAFMS2 PIB2.
- @120 DPOC2 PIB2. @122 DDOC2 PIB2. @124 HYEC2 PIBI.
- @125 PAYGRDE2 PIBI. @126 SERVICE2 PIBI. @127 MRTSTAT2 PIBI.
- @128 NDPNDNT2 PIBI. @129 SPNSPD2 PIB3. @132 ISC2 PIBI.
- @133 SEPRT2YR PIBI. @134 SEPRT2MT PIBI. @135 SEPRT2DY PIBI.
- @136 BASD2YR PIBI. @137 BASD2MTH PIBI. @138 BASD2DAY PIBI.

- @139 ETS2YEAR PIBI. @140 ETS2MNTH PIBI.
- @141 DOLE2YR PIBI. @142 DOLE2MTH PIBI.
- @145 PEBD2YR PIBI. @146 PEBD2MTH PIBI. @147 PEBD2DAY PIBI.
- @143 CHARSRV2 PIBI. @144 ELGREUP2 PIBI.
- @148 FILEFLG2 PIB2. @150 TAFMS3 PIBI.
- @151 TAFMS4 PIBI. @152 DPOC3 PIB2. @154 DDOC 3 PIB2.
- @156 HYEC3 PIBI. @157 PAYGRDE3 PIBI. @158 SERVICE3 PIBI.
- @159 MRTSTAT3 PIBI. @160 NDPNDNT3 PIBI. @161 SPNSPD3 PIB3.
- @165 SEPRT3YR PIBI. @166 SEPRT3MT PIBI. @167 SEPRT3DY PIBI.
- @168 BASD3YR PIBI. @169 BASD3MTH PIBI. @170 BASD3DAY PIBI.
- @171 ETS3YEAR PIBI. @172 ETS2MNTH PIBI.
- @173 DOLE3YR PIBI. @174 DOLE3MTH PIBI.
- @177 PEBD3YR PIBI. @178 PEBD3MTH PIBI. @179 PEBD3DAY PIBI.
- @164 ISC3 PIBI.
- @175 CHARSRV3 PIBI.
- @176 ELGREUP3 PIBI. @180 FILEFLG3 PIB2.
- @182 FILEMTCH PIB4. @186 DOEYRDEP PIBI. @187 DOEMTDEP PIBI.
- @188 MNTHSDEP PIBI. @189 SPFLGML PIBI.
- @190 DCPGYR PIBI. @191 DCPGMNTH PIBI.
- @212 GCT 2. @214 ARI 2. @216 MECH 2.
- @218 CLER 2. @220 AFQTS 2. @222 PNEC \$4.
- @227 CTZNSHIP \$1.
- @229 PRIDEPND \$1. @230 SECDEPND \$1. @231 BRCL \$2.
- @233 GROUPIND \$1. @234 AUTHRATE \$4. @240 EDPGYR \$4.
- @244 SCHLCODE \$1. @245 SCHLWVR \$1. @246 ASTAR \$1.
- @247 TSSIND \$1. @250 PRESRATE \$4.

	@254	NUMPG1	\$1.	@255	PRRTABR	V \$3.	@258	EXAMRATE	\$4.
	@262	NUMPG2	\$1.	@263	EXRTABR	V \$3.	@266	TOTLRAW	3.
	@269	STDNAVY	2.	@272	PRCODE	\$2.	@274	ATLPRCDE	\$2.
	@276	FINLMULT	5.	@281	FNMLTCU	Γ 5.	@287	PRFFACTR	3.
	@290	AWIFACTR	2.	@292	CHNGRAT	E \$1.			
	@296	RATEIND	\$1.	@297	SPPROIN	D \$1.	@298	TYPENLST	\$2.
	@301	MODEST	\$1.	@302	NENLSTM'	Γ 1.			
	@303	EAOS YYMM	DD6.	@309	TAS	\$4.	@313	OAS	\$4.
	@317	LOSCODE	\$1.	@318	LOSWVR	\$1.	@319	SIPG	\$4.
	@323	TIRWVR	\$1.	@324	TIR	\$4.			
	2336	ADBD YYMM	DD6.	@343	EDPG Y	YMMDD6.	9349	DTIS	3.
	@352	RECFORES	1.	@356	NCHANGE	S 3.	@384	AGE	2.
	@386	NHRCGCT	2.	@388	NHRCAFQ'	Γ 2.	@390	MENTLGRP	\$1.
	@391	EDCERTIF	\$1.	@392	MOBLDSG	N \$1.	@394	HYNDPNDT	2.
	@396	GRP4PROG	\$2.	@398	SSDUTY	\$1.	9399	REGRESRV	\$1.
	@400	HYPAYGRD	\$1.	@401	NOTRCMD	\$1.	@402	SSNCHNGE	\$1.
	@403	TOTPROMO	2.	@405	TOTLDEM	0 1.	@406	TOTLAWOL	1.
	@407	TOTDESRT	1.	@408	TOTMLTC	N 1.	@409	TOTCVLCN	1.
	0412	LNGTHSRV	\$4.	@416	SCREEN	2.	@418	ATTRITCD	\$1.
	@419	RECNTC	\$1.	@420	RECENLS	r \$2.	@422	RECPROGM	\$1.
	@423	RECPRGSC	\$2.	@425	RCPGSCR	Γ \$4.	@435	ELSTHIST	\$1.
	@436	NDAYSE2	4.	@440	NDAYSE3	4.	@444	NDAYSE4	4.
	@449	DMDCRATE	\$3.	@452	DMDCNEC	\$4.	9456	DMDCUIC	\$6.;
FLAGO01=0;									
<pre>IF DMDCRATE='OS' THEN FLAGOO1=1;</pre>									
IF PRRTABRV='OS' THEN FLAGOO1=1;									

```
IF RCPGSCRT='0300' THEN FLAG001=1;
IF EXAMRATE='0300' THEN FLAG001=1;
IF FLAG001=1:
IF PRIORSRV=1:
IF ENTRYAGE>=17;
IF HYEC=13 THEN HYEC=6;
IF SEX=1;
IF ((TESTFORM GE 35) AND (TESTFORM LE 37));
IF ASVABGI<=15; IF ASVABNO<=50; IF ASVABAD<=30; IF ASVABWK<=30;
IF ASVABAR<=20; IF ASVABSP<=20; IF ASVABMK<=20: IF ASVABEI<=30;
IF ASVABMC<=20; IF ASVABGS<=20; IF ASVABSI<=20; IF ASVABAI<=20;
IF PRFFACTR<=400:PRFFACTR=PRFFACTR/100:</pre>
IF AWIFACTR<=6;
IF SCHLCODE='1' THEN SCHLCOED='1'; ELSE SCHLCODE='0';
NUSCHCDE=SCHLCODE+0:
IF TOTPROMO<=5;</pre>
NUATTRIT=ATTRITCD+0; IF NUATTRIT=2 THEN NUATTRIT=1;
ELSE NUATTRIT=0;
NUNOTRC = NOTRCMD + 0;
NUHYPAY=HYPAYGRD+0;
IF TOTLDEMO <= 3;
IF TOTLAWOL <=5:
IF TOTDESRT<=3:
IF LNGTHSRV NE '0000'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0600'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0601';
IF LNGTHSRV NE '0602'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0603'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0604';
IF LNGTHSRV NE '0605'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0606'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0607';
```

```
IF LNGTHSRV NE '0608'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0609'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0610';

IF LNGTHSRV NE '0611'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '0806'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '1005';

IF LNGTHSRV NE '1004'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '1005'; IF LNGTHSRV NE '1006';

TNDAYSE2=LOG(NDAYSE2+1); TNDAYSE3=LOG(NDAYSE3+1); TNDAYSE4=LOG(NDAYSE4+1);

IF NDAYSE2=9999 THEN NDAYSE2=2000;

IF NDAYSE3=9999 THEN NDAYSE3=2000;

IF NDAYSE4=9999 THEN NDAYSE4=2000;

PROC FREQ;

TABLES DMDCRATE RCPGSCRT PRRTABRV EXAMRATE;

/*
```

APPENDIX C

SAS PROGRAM FOR CATEGORY I IDENTIFICATION

APPENDIX D

SAS PROGRAM FOR CATEGORY II IDENTIFICATION

```
//wardla15 Job (1197,0001),'w.E.Wardlaw',Class=A
// EXEC SAS
//Filein DD DISP=SHR, DSN=MSS.S1197.OSONE
//SYSIN DD *
Data;Set Filein.OSONE;
IF PAYGRDE1 LT 4;
IF NOTRCMD EQ 1;
PROC FREQ;
TABLES DMDCRATE RCPGSCRT PRRTABRY EXAMRATE:
/*
//
```

APPENDIX E

SAS PROGRAM-STEPWISE DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

```
//Wardla21 Job (1197,0001), "W.E. Wardlaw', Class=C
// EXEC SAS
//Filein DD DISP=SHR, DSN=MSS.S1197.OSONE
//SYSIN DD *
Data; Set Filein. OSONE;
IF HYEC=1 THEN CHYEC=3.5;
IF HYEC=2 THEN CHYEC=8;
IF HYEC=3 THEN CHYEC=9;
IF HYEC=4 THEN CHYEC=10;
IF HYEC=5 THEN CHYEC=11;
IF HYEC=6 THEN CHYEC=12;
IF HYEC=7 THEN CHYEC=13;
IF HYEC=8 THEN CHYEC=14;
IF HYEC=9 THEN CHYEC=15;
IF HYEC=10 THEN CHYEC=16;
IF HYEC=11 THEN CHYEC=18;
IF HYEC=12 THEN CHYEC=20;
IF HYEC=13 THEN CHYEC=11.5;
HYEC=CHYEC;
IF ((PAYGRDE1 GE 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 0) AND (LNGTHSRV LT '400'))
THEN CATEGORY =1;
IF ((PAYGRDE1 LT 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 1)) THEN CATEGORY=2;
```

PROC STEPDISC SIMPLE STDMEAN TCORR WCORR; VAR

ENTRYAGE CHYEC ASVABGI ASVABNO ASVABAD ASVABWK ASVABAR

ASVABSP ASVABMK ASVABEI ASVABMC ASVABGS

ASVABSI ASVABAI MRTSTAT1 NDPNDNT1;

CLASS CATEGORY;

/*

//

APPENDIX F

SAS PROGRAM-DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

```
//Wardla22 Job (1197,0001), 'W.E.Wardlaw', Class=C
// EXEC SAS
//Filein DD DISP=SHR, DSN=MSS.S1197.OSONE
//SYSIN DD *
Data: Set Filein.OSONE:
IF HYEC=1 THEN CHYEC=3.5;
IF HYEC=2 THEN CHYEC=8:
IF HYEC=3 THEN CHYEC=9:
IF HYEC=4 THEN CHYEC=10:
IF HYEC=5 THEN CHYEC=11;
IF HYEC=6 THEN CHYEC=12;
IF HYEC=7 THEN CHYEC=13;
IF HYEC=8 THEN CHYEC=14;
IF HYEC=9 THEN CHYEC=15:
IF HYEC=10 THEN CHYEC=16;
IF HYEC=11 THEN CHYEC=18:
IF HYEC=12 THEN CHYEC=20;
IF HYEC=13 THEN CHYEC=11.5:
HYEC=CHYEC;
IF ((PAYGRDE1 GE 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 0) AND (LNGTHSRV LT '0400'))
THEN CATEGORY=1;
IF ((PAYGRDE1 LT 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 1)) THEN CATEGORY=2;
```

PROC DISCRIM; VAR

MRTSTAT1 ASVABGI ASVABWK ASVABEI ASVABMC ASVABAR

ASVABMK CHYEC;

CLASS CATEGORY;

/*

//

APPENDIX G

SAS PROGRAM-DISCRIMINANT MODEL TESTING PROCEDURE

```
//Wardla22 Job (1197,0001), 'W.E. Wardlaw', Class=C
// EXEC SAS
//Filein DD DISP=SHR, DSN=MSS.S1197.OSONE
//SYSIN DD *
OPTIONS NOCENTER LS=75 NODATE:
Data; Set Filein.OSONE;
             RANDOM10=NORMAL(0);
      IF ((RANDOM10 GE -1) AND (RANDOM10 LE 1)) THEN
         DVSMPL10=1; ELSE DVSMPL10=0;
IF HYEC=1 THEN CHYEC=3.5;
IF HYEC=2 THEN CHYEC=8;
IF HYEC=3 THEN CHYEC=9;
IF HYEC=4 THEN CHYEC=10;
IF HYEC=5 THEN CHYEC=11;
IF HYEC=6 THEN CHYEC=12;
IF HYEC=7 THEN CHYEC=13;
IF HYEC=8 THEN CHYEC=14;
IF HYEC=9 THEN CHYEC=15;
IF HYEC=10 THEN CHYEC=16;
IF HYEC=11 THEN CHYEC=18;
IF HYEC=12 THEN CHYEC=20;
IF HYEC=13 THEN CHYEC=11.5;
```

```
HYEC=CHYEC;

IF ((PAYGRDE1 GE 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 0) AND (LNGTHSRV LT '0400'))

THEN CATEGORY=1;

IF ((PAYGRDE1 LT 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 1)) THEN CATEGORY=2;

DATE DERIV8;SET DATA1:IF DVSMPL10=1;

DATE VALID8;SET DATA1;IF DVSMPL10=0;

PROC DISCRIM S POOL=YES DATA=DERIV8 OUT=CALIBR81;VAR

MRTSTAT1 ASVABGI ASVABWK ASVABWI ASVABMC ASVABAR

ASVABMK CHYEC;

CLASS CATEGORY;

PROC DISCRIM DATA=CALIBR81 TESTDATA=VALID8;TESTCLASS CATEGORY;

/*
```

APPENDIX H

SAS PROGRAM-CATEGORY III TESTING PROCEDURE

```
//Wardla23 Job (1197,0001), 'W.E. Wardlaw', Class=C
// EXEC SAS
//Filein DD DISP=SHR, DSN=MSS.S1197.OSONE
//SYSIN DD *
OPTIONS NOCENTER LS=75 NODATE;
Data; Set Filein. OSONE;
            RANDOM10=NORMAL(0);
     IF ((RANDOM10 GE -1) AND (RANDOM10 LE 1))
        THEN DVSMPL10=1; ELSE DVSMPL10=0;
IF HYEC=1 THEN CHYEC=3.5;
IF HYEC=2 THEN CHYEC=8;
IF HYEC=3 THEN CHYEC=9;
IF HYEC=4 THEN CHYEC=10;
IF HYEC=5 THEN CHYEC=11;
IF HYEC=6 THEN CHYEC=12;
IF HYEC=7 THEN CHYEC=13;
IF HYEC=8 THEN CHYEC=14;
IF HYEC=9 THEN CHYEC=15;
IF HYEC=10 THEN CHYEC=16;
IF HYEC=11 THEN CHYEC=18;
IF HYEC=12 THEN CHYEC=20;
IF HYEC=13 THEN CHYEC=11.5:
```

```
HYEC=CHYEC;

IF ((PAYGRDE1 GE 4) AND (NOTRCMD EQ 0) AND (LNGTHSRV LT '0400'))

THEN CATEGORY=1;

IF ((PAYGRDE1 LT 4) AND NOTRCMD EQ 1)) THEN CATEGORY=2;

IF (CATEGORY='.') THEN CATEGORY=3;

DATA DISTING;SET DATA1;IF CATEGORY<3;

DATA DERIV8;SET DISTING;IF DVSMPL10=1;

DATA VALID8;SET DATA1;IF CATEGORY=3;

PROC DISCRIM S POOL=YES DATA=DERIV8 OUT=CALIBR81;VAR

MRTSTAT1 ASVABGI ASVABWK ASVABEI ASVABMC ASVABAR

ASVABMK CHYEC:

CLASS CATEGORY;

PROC DISCRIM DATA=CALIBR81 TESTDATA=VALID8;TESTCLASS CATEGORY;

/*

//
```

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Lockman, R. F., Chances of Surviving the First Year of Service: A New Technique For Use In Making Recruiting Policy and Screening Applicants For the Navy, Center for Naval Analysis, Arlington Virginia, November, 1975.
- 2. Lockman, R. F., Success Chances of Recruits Entering
 The Navy (SCREEN), Center for Naval Analysis, Arlington,
 Virginia, February, 1977.
- 3. Thomas, P. J., A Comparison Between the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery and the Navy Basic Test Battery in Predicting Navy School Performance, Naval Personnel and Training Research Laboratory, San Diego, California, January, 1970.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Elster, R. S. and Flyer, E.; A Study of Relationships Between Educational Credentials and Military Performance Criteria, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, April 1982.

Curtis, E. W.; Prediction of Enlisted Performance:
Relationships Among Aptitude Tests, Navy School Grades, The
Report of Enlisted Performance Evaluation, and Advancement
Examinations, Naval Personnel and Training Research Laboratory,
San Diego, California, June 1971.

Lockman, R. F.; <u>Enlisted Selection Strategies</u>; Center for Naval Analysis, <u>Arlington</u>, Virginia, September 1974.

Lockman, R. F.; Improved Techniques for Enlisted Attrition Management; Center for Naval Analysis, Arlington, Virginia, April 1978.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
3.	Department Chairman, Code 54. Department of Administrative Science Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
4.	Professor Richard Elster, Code 54EA Department of Administrative Sciences Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
5.	Professor Shu Liao, Code 54LC Department of Administrative Sciences Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
6.	Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (Manpower, Personnel and Training) Chief of Naval Personnel, OP-01 Arlington Annex Columbia Pike and Arlington Ridge Road Arlington, Virginia 30270	1
7.	LCDR. William E. Wardlaw RT 5 Box 405 Pontotoc, Mississippi 38863	1
8.	Asst. Prof. William McGarvey, Code 36 Department of Administrative Sciences Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1

